

Appendix G

Identification and Hazard Evaluation of Chemicals across the Hydraulic Fracturing Water Cycle Supplemental Tables and Information

Appendix G. Identification and Hazard Evaluation of Chemicals across the Hydraulic Fracturing Water Cycle Supplemental Tables and Information

1 Appendix G provides detail and supporting information on the oral reference values (RfVs) and oral
2 slope factors (OSFs) that were identified in Chapter 9 of this assessment.¹ Section G.1 provides
3 detail on the criteria used to select sources of RfVs and OSFs for chemicals used or detected in
4 hydraulic fracturing processes, and lists all sources of RfVs and OSFs that were considered for this
5 study. Section G.2 provides a glossary of the toxicity value terminology that is used by these various
6 sources. Lastly, all of the RfVs and OSFs collected from these sources are provided in Table G-1 and
7 Table G-2. Tables G-1a through G-1d show the available RfVs and OSFs for chemicals used in
8 hydraulic fracturing fluids, and Tables G-2a through G-2d show the available RfVs and OSFs for
9 chemicals detected in hydraulic fracturing flowback and wastewater. These tables provide cancer
10 weight-of-evidence (WOE) characterizations for these chemicals where available, and indicate
11 whether each chemical has available data on physicochemical properties or occurrence.

G.1. Criteria for Selection and Inclusion of Reference Value (RfV) and Oral Slope Factor (OSF) Data Sources

12 The criteria listed below were used to evaluate the quality of RfVs and OSFs considered for use in
13 the hazard analyses conducted in Chapter 9. These criteria were originally outlined in the hydraulic
14 fracturing research plan ([U.S. EPA, 2011a](#)) and interim progress report ([U.S. EPA, 2012c](#)). Only data
15 sources that met these criteria were considered of sufficient quality to be included in the analyses.

16 The following criteria had to be met for a source to be deemed of sufficient quality:

- 17 1) The body or organization generating or producing the peer-reviewed RfVs, peer-reviewed OSFs,
18 or peer reviewed qualitative assessment must be a governmental or intergovernmental body.
 - 19 a. Governmental bodies include sovereign states, and federated states/units.
 - 20 b. Intergovernmental bodies are those whose members are sovereign states, and the
21 subdivisions or agencies of such intergovernmental bodies. The United Nations is an
22 example of an intergovernmental body. The International Agency for Research on
23 Cancer (IARC) is an agency of the World Health Organization (WHO), which is itself an
24 agency of the United Nations. Thus, IARC is considered a subdivision of the United
25 Nations.

¹ As defined in Chapter 9, the term RfV refers to reference values for noncancer effects occurring via the oral route of exposure and for chronic durations, except where noted.

-
- 1 2) The data source must include peer-reviewed RfVs, peer-reviewed OSFs, or peer reviewed
2 qualitative assessments.
- 3 a. A committee that is established to derive the RfVs, OSFs, or qualitative assessments can
4 have members of that same committee provide the peer review, so long as either the
5 entire committee, or members of the committee who did not participate in the
6 derivation of a specific section of a work product, conduct the review.
- 7 b. Peer reviewers who work for grantees of the organization deriving the RfVs, OSFs, or
8 qualitative assessments are generally allowed, and this will not be considered to
9 constitute a conflict/duality of interest.
- 10 c. Peer reviewers may work in the same or different office, so long as they did not
11 participate in any way in the development of the product, and these individuals must be
12 free of conflicts/duality of interest with respect to the chemical(s) assigned.
- 13 i. For instance, peer reviewers for Program X, conducted by Office A, may also be
14 employed by Office A so long as they did not participate in the creation of the
15 Program X product they are reviewing.
- 16 3) The RfVs, OSFs, or qualitative assessments must be based on peer-reviewed scientific data.
- 17 a. There are cases where industry reports that were not published in a peer-reviewed,
18 scholarly journal may be used, if the industry report has been adequately peer-reviewed
19 by an external body (external to the group generating the report, and external to the
20 group generating the peer-reviewed RfVs, peer-reviewed OSFs, or peer-reviewed
21 qualitative assessment) that is free of conflicts/dualities of interest.
- 22 4) The RfVs, OSFs, or qualitative assessments must be focused on protection of the general public.
- 23 a. Sources that are focused on workers are not appropriate as workers are assumed to
24 accommodate additional risk than the general public due to their status as workers.
- 25 5) The body generating the values or qualitative assessments must be free of conflicts of interest
26 with respect to the chemicals for which it derives RfVs, OSFs, or qualitative assessments.
- 27 a. If a body generating the RfVs, OSFs, or qualitative assessments accepts funding from an
28 interested party (i.e., a company or organization that may be impacted by past, present,
29 or future values or qualitative assessments), then the body has a conflict of interest.
- 30 b. For instance, if a non-profit organization is funded by an industry trade group, and the
31 non-profit generates RfVs, OSFs, or qualitative assessments for chemicals that trade
32 group is interested in, then the non-profit is considered to have a conflict of interest
33 with respect to those chemicals.
- 34 It is important to note that having a conflict/duality of interest for one chemical is sufficient to
35 disqualify the entire database, as it is assumed that conflicts/dualities of interest may exist for
36 other chemicals as well.

G.1.1. Included Sources

1 We applied our criteria to 16 different sources of RfVs and/or OSFs. After application of our criteria,
2 we were left with eight sources. For those sources which did not meet our criteria, we provide an
3 explanation of why they were excluded.

4 The following sources were evaluated, met our criteria, and were selected as sources of reference
5 doses or cancer slope factors for this analysis:

- 6 • **U.S. EPA Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS)**
- 7 • **U.S. EPA Human Health Benchmarks for Pesticides (HHBP)**
- 8 • **U.S. EPA Provisional Peer-Reviewed Toxicity Values (PPRTVs)**
- 9 • **U.S. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) Minimum Risk**
10 **Levels (MRLs)**
- 11 • **California EPA Toxicity Criteria Database**
- 12 • **International Programme On Chemical Safety (IPCS) Concise International Chemical**
13 **Assessment Documents (CICAD)**

14 The following sources were evaluated, met our criteria, and were selected as sources of qualitative
15 cancer classifications:

- 16 • **International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC)**
- 17 • **US National Toxicology Program Report on Carcinogens (RoC)**

18 RfVs and/or OSFs from these data sources are listed in Tables G-1a through G-1d for chemicals used
19 in hydraulic fracturing fluid formulation, and Tables G-2a through G-2d for chemicals reported in
20 hydraulic fracturing flowback and produced water.

21 In addition, Table G-1 and Table G-2 also list the EPA's drinking water maximum contaminant levels
22 (MCLs) and maximum contaminant goal levels (MCLG) when available. These values are generally
23 based on IRIS values, and are treatment-based. MCL and MCLG values are listed for reference only,
24 and were not considered in the hazard analysis presented in Chapter 9.

G.1.2. Excluded Sources

- 25 • **American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists:** The assessments
26 derived by this body are specific to workers and are not generalizable to the general
27 public. In addition, this body is not a governmental or intergovernmental body. Thus, these
28 values were excluded based on criteria 1 and 4.
- 29 • **European Chemicals Bureau, Classification and Labeling Annex I of Directive**
30 **67/548/EEC:** These assessments are not based on peer-reviewed values, but are based on
31 data supplied by manufacturers. Further, the enabling legislation states that
32 "Manufacturers, importers, and downstream users shall examine the information...to
33 ascertain whether it is adequate, reliable and scientifically valid for the purpose of the

1 evaluation...” This clearly demonstrates that the data and the evaluation are not required
2 to be peer-reviewed. Thus, these values were excluded based on criterion 2.

- 3 • **Toxicology Excellence for Risk Assessment’s (TERA’s) International Toxicity**
4 **Estimates for Risk Assessment (ITER):** The ITER database is developed by TERA a
5 501(c)(3) non-profit. TERA accepts funding from various sources, including interested
6 parties that may be impacted by their assessment work. Thus, ITER is excluded based on
7 criteria 1 and 5.
- 8 • **Other U.S. states:** The EPA evaluated values from all states that had values reported on
9 their websites. If a state’s values were determined to be largely duplicative of the EPA’s
10 values (e.g., the state adopts EPA values, such as the regional screening levels, and does
11 not typically generate its own peer-reviewed values), that state’s values were no longer
12 considered. The EPA contacted those states whose values were determined to not be
13 duplicative of EPA’s values, and confirmed whether or not a peer review process was used
14 to develop the state’s values. The EPA determined that of the states with values not
15 duplicative of the EPA’s values, only California’s values met all of the EPA’s criteria for this
16 report. Other states with publicly accessible RfVs and/or OSFs include: Alabama, Florida,
17 Hawaii, and Texas.
- 18 • **WHO Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality:** The WHO Guidelines’ values are not RfVs,
19 but rather drinking water values.

G.2. Glossary of Toxicity Value Terminology

20 This section defines the toxicity values and qualitative cancer classifications that are frequently
21 found in the sources identified above.

22 **Lowest-observed-adverse-effect level (LOAEL):** The lowest exposure level at which there are
23 biologically significant increases in frequency or severity of adverse effects between the exposed
24 population and its appropriate control group. Source: [U.S. EPA \(2011c\)](#).

25 **Maximum allowable daily level (MADL):** The maximum allowable daily level of a reproductive
26 toxicant at which the chemical would have no observable adverse reproductive effect, assuming
27 exposure at 1,000 times that level. Source: [OEHHA \(2012\)](#).

28 **Maximum contaminant level (MCL):** The highest level of a contaminant that is allowed in
29 drinking water. MCLs are set as close to MCLGs as feasible using the best available treatment
30 technology and taking cost into consideration. MCLs are enforceable standards. Source: [U.S. EPA](#)
31 [\(2014b\)](#).

32 **Maximum contaminant level goal (MCLG):** The level of a contaminant in drinking water below
33 which there is no known or expected risk to health. MCLGs allow for a margin of safety and are
34 nonenforceable public health goals. Source: [U.S. EPA \(2014b\)](#).

35 **Minimum risk level (MRL):** An ATSDR estimate of daily human exposure to a hazardous substance
36 at or below which the substance is unlikely to pose a measurable risk of harmful (adverse),

1 noncancerous effects. MRLs are calculated for a route of exposure (inhalation or oral) over a
2 specified time period (acute, intermediate, or chronic). MRLs should not be used as predictors of
3 harmful (adverse) health effects.

- 4 • **Chronic MRL:** Duration of exposure is 365 days or longer.
- 5 • **Intermediate MRL:** Duration of exposure is >14 to 364 days.
- 6 • **Acute MRL:** Duration of exposure is 1 to 14 days.

7 Source: [ATSDR \(2009\)](#).

8 **No-observed-adverse-effect level (NOAEL):** The highest exposure level at which there are no
9 biologically significant increases in the frequency or severity of adverse effect between the exposed
10 population and its appropriate control; some effects may be produced at this level, but they are not
11 considered adverse or precursors of adverse effects. Source: [U.S. EPA \(2011c\)](#).

12 **Oral slope factor (OSF):** An upper-bound, approximating a 95% confidence limit, on the increased
13 cancer risk from a lifetime oral exposure to an agent. This estimate, usually expressed in units of
14 proportion (of a population) affected per mg/kg-day, is generally reserved for use in the low-dose
15 region of the dose-response relationship, that is, for exposures corresponding to risks less than 1 in
16 100. Source: [U.S. EPA \(2011c\)](#).

17 **Reference dose (RfD) (U.S. EPA IRIS and PPRTV definition):** An estimate (with uncertainty
18 spanning perhaps an order of magnitude) of a daily oral exposure to the human population
19 (including sensitive subgroups) that is likely to be without an appreciable risk of deleterious effects
20 during a lifetime. It can be derived from a NOAEL, LOAEL, or benchmark dose, with uncertainty
21 factors generally applied to reflect limitations of the data used. The RfD is generally used in the
22 EPA's noncancer health assessments.

- 23 • **Chronic RfD:** Duration of exposure is up to a lifetime.
- 24 • **Subchronic RfD (sRfD):** Duration of exposure is up to 10% of an average lifespan.

25 Source: [U.S. EPA \(2011c\)](#).

26 **Reference dose (RfD) (U.S. EPA HHBP definition):** The particular concentration of a chemical
27 that is known not to cause health problems. A standard that also may be referred to as the
28 acceptable daily intake. Derived using the same EPA guidance for IRIS and PPRTV RfD
29 determination. Source: [U.S. EPA \(2015e\)](#).

30 **Tolerable daily intake (TDI):** An estimate of the intake of a substance, expressed on a body mass
31 basis, to which an individual in a (sub) population may be exposed daily over its lifetime without
32 appreciable health risk. Source: [WHO \(2015\)](#).

33 **Weight-of-evidence (WOE) characterization for carcinogenicity:** A system used for
34 characterizing the extent to which the available data support the hypothesis that an agent causes
35 cancer in humans.

- 1 • **EPA 1986 guidelines:** Under the EPA's 1986 risk assessment guidelines, the WOE was
2 described by categories "A through E," with Group A for known human carcinogens through
3 Group E for agents with evidence of noncarcinogenicity. Five standard WOE descriptors
4 were used:
- 5 ○ A: Human carcinogen
 - 6 ○ B1: Probable human carcinogen—based on limited evidence of carcinogenicity in
7 humans and sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in animals
 - 8 ○ B2: Probable human carcinogen—based on sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in
9 animals
 - 10 ○ C: Possible human carcinogen
 - 11 ○ D: Not classifiable as to human carcinogenicity
 - 12 ○ E: Evidence of noncarcinogenicity for humans

13 Source: [U.S. EPA \(2011c\)](#).

- 14 • **EPA 1996 proposed guidelines:** The EPA's 1996 proposed guidelines outlined a major
15 change in the way hazard evidence was weighted in reaching conclusions about the human
16 carcinogenic potential of agents. These guidelines replaced the WOE letter categories with
17 the use of standard descriptors of conclusions incorporated into a brief narrative. Three
18 categories of descriptors with the narrative were used:

- 19 ○ Known/likely
- 20 ○ Cannot be determined
- 21 ○ Not likely

22 Source: [U.S. EPA \(1996\)](#).

- 23 • **EPA 1999 guidelines:** The 1999 guidelines adopted a framework incorporating hazard
24 identification, dose-response assessment, exposure assessment, and risk characterization
25 with an emphasis on characterization of evidence and conclusions in each part of the
26 assessment. Five descriptors summarizing the WOE in the narrative were used:

- 27 ○ Carcinogenic to humans
- 28 ○ Likely to be carcinogenic to humans
- 29 ○ Suggestive evidence of carcinogenicity, but not sufficient to assess human
30 carcinogenic potential
- 31 ○ Data are inadequate for an assessment of human carcinogenic potential
- 32 ○ Not likely to be carcinogenic to humans

33 Source: [U.S. EPA \(1999\)](#).

- 34 • **EPA 2005 guidelines:** The approach outlined in the EPA's 2005 guidelines for carcinogen
35 risk assessment considers all scientific information in determining whether and under what
36 conditions an agent may cause cancer in humans and provides a narrative approach to

1 characterize carcinogenicity rather than categories. Five standard WOE descriptors are
2 used as part of the narrative:

- 3 ○ Carcinogenic to humans
- 4 ○ Likely to be carcinogenic to humans
- 5 ○ Suggestive evidence of carcinogenic potential
- 6 ○ Inadequate information to assess carcinogenic potential
- 7 ○ Not likely to be carcinogenic to humans

8 Source: [U.S. EPA \(2011c\)](#).

- 9 • **IARC Monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans:** The IARC
10 classifies carcinogen risk as a matter of scientific judgement that reflects the strength of the
11 evidence derived from studies in humans, in experimental animals, from mechanistic data,
12 and from other relevant data. Five WOE classifications are used:

- 13 ○ Group 1: Carcinogenic to humans
- 14 ○ Group 2A: Probably carcinogenic to humans
- 15 ○ Group 2B: Possibly carcinogenic to humans
- 16 ○ Group 3: Not classifiable as to its carcinogenicity to humans
- 17 ○ Group 4: Probably not carcinogenic to humans

18 Source: [IARC \(2015\)](#).

- 19 • **NTP:** The NTP describes the results of individual experiments on a chemical agent and
20 notes the strength of the evidence for conclusions regarding each study. Negative results, in
21 which the study animals do not have a greater incidence of neoplasia than control animals,
22 do not necessarily mean that a chemical is not a carcinogen, inasmuch as the experiments
23 are conducted under a limited set of conditions. Positive results demonstrate that a
24 chemical is carcinogenic for laboratory animals under the conditions of the study and
25 indicate that exposure to the chemical has the potential for hazard to humans. For each
26 separate experiment, one of the following five categories is selected to describe the findings.
27 These categories refer to the strength of the experimental evidence and not to potency or
28 mechanism.

- 29 ○ Clear evidence of carcinogenic activity
- 30 ○ Some evidence of carcinogenic activity
- 31 ○ Equivocal evidence of carcinogenic activity
- 32 ○ No evidence of carcinogenic activity
- 33 ○ Inadequate study of carcinogenic activity

34 Source: [NTP \(2014a\)](#).

- 35 • **The RoC** is a congressionally mandated, science-based, public health report that identifies
36 agents, substances, mixtures, or exposures (collectively called “substances”) in our

1 environment that may potentially put people in the United States at increased risk for
2 cancer. NTP prepares the RoC on behalf of the Secretary of the Health and Human Services.
3 The listing criteria in the RoC Document are:

- 4 ○ Known to be a human carcinogen
- 5 ○ Reasonably anticipated to be a human carcinogen

6 Source: [NTP \(2014b\)](#).

G.3. Tables

Table G-1a. Chemicals reported to be used in hydraulic fracturing fluids, with available federal chronic RfVs and OSFs.

Chemicals from the FracFocus database are listed first, ranked by IRIS reference dose (RfD). The “--” symbol indicates that no value was available from the sources consulted. Additionally, an “x” indicates the availability of usage data from FracFocus ([U.S. EPA, 2015a](http://www.fracfocus.com)) and physicochemical properties data from EPI Suite™ (see Appendix C). Italicized chemicals are found in both fracturing fluids and flowback/produced water.

Chemical Name	CASRN	Frac-Focus data available	Physico-chemical data available	IRIS			PPRTV			ATSDR	HHBP	National Primary Drinking Water Regulations	
				Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c	Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c			Chronic oral MRL ^d (mg/kg-day)	Chronic RfD ^e (mg/kg-day)
Acrylamide	79-06-1	x	x	0.002	0.5	"Likely to be carcinogenic to humans"	--	--	--	0.001	--	0	--
Propargyl alcohol	107-19-7	x	x	0.002	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Furfural	98-01-1	x	x	0.003	--	--	--	--	--	--	0.01	--	--
<i>Benzene</i>	<i>71-43-2</i>	x	x	<i>0.004</i>	<i>0.015-0.055</i>	<i>A</i>	--	--	--	<i>0.0005</i>	--	<i>0</i>	<i>0.005</i>
<i>Dichloromethane</i>	<i>75-09-2</i>	x	x	<i>0.006</i>	<i>0.002</i>	<i>"Likely to be carcinogenic in humans"</i>	--	--	--	<i>0.06</i>	--	<i>0</i>	<i>0.005</i>
<i>Naphthalene</i>	<i>91-20-3</i>	x	x	<i>0.02</i>	--	<i>"Data are inadequate to assess human carcinogenic potential"</i>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Chemical Name	CASRN	Frac-Focus data available	Physico-chemical data available	IRIS			PPRTV			ATSDR	HHBP	National Primary Drinking Water Regulations	
				Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c	Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c	Chronic oral MRL ^d (mg/kg-day)	Chronic RfD ^e (mg/kg-day)	Public health goal ^f (MCLG) (mg/L)	MCL ^g (mg/L)
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	x	x	0.03	0.1	"Likely to be carcinogenic to humans"	--	--	--	0.1	--	--	--
Sodium chlorite	7758-19-2	x		0.03	--	"Data are inadequate to assess human carcinogenicity"	--	--	--	--	--	1	0.8
Chlorine dioxide	10049-04-4	x		0.03	--	"Data are inadequate to assess human carcinogenicity"	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
1,3-Dichloropropene	542-75-6	x	x	0.03	0.05	"Likely to be a human carcinogen"	--	--	--	0.03	--	--	--
Bisphenol A	80-05-7	x	x	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Toluene	108-88-3	x	x	0.08	--	"Inadequate information to assess the carcinogenic potential"	--	--	--	--	--	1	1
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	x	x	0.1	--	D	--	--	--	--	--	0.7	0.7

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Chemical Name	CASRN	Frac-Focus data available	Physico-chemical data available	IRIS			PPRTV			ATSDR	HHBP	National Primary Drinking Water Regulations	
				Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c	Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c	Chronic oral MRL ^d (mg/kg-day)	Chronic RfD ^e (mg/kg-day)	Public health goal ^f (MCLG) (mg/L)	MCL ^g (mg/L)
1-Butanol	71-36-3	x	x	0.1	--	D	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
<i>Cumene</i>	98-82-8	x	x	0.1	--	D	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
<i>Acetophenone</i>	98-86-2	x	x	0.1	--	D	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
2-Butoxyethanol	111-76-2	x	x	0.1	--	"Not likely to be carcinogenic to humans"	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
<i>Xylenes</i>	1330-20-7	x	x	0.2	--	"Data are inadequate to assess the carcinogenic potential"	--	--	--	0.2	--	10	10
Formaldehyde	50-00-0	x	x	0.2	--	B1	--	--	--	0.2	--	--	--
<i>Phenol</i>	108-95-2	x	x	0.3	--	"Data are inadequate for an assessment of human carcinogenic potential"	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
2-Methyl-1-propanol	78-83-1	x	x	0.3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Chemical Name	CASRN	Frac-Focus data available	Physico-chemical data available	IRIS			PPRTV			ATSDR	HHBP	National Primary Drinking Water Regulations	
				Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c	Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c	Chronic oral MRL ^d (mg/kg-day)	Chronic RfD ^e (mg/kg-day)	Public health goal ^f (MCLG) (mg/L)	MCL ^g (mg/L)
Acetone	67-64-1	x	x	0.9	--	"Data are inadequate for an assessment of human carcinogenic potential"	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Ethyl acetate	141-78-6	x	x	0.9	--	--	--	--	IN	--	--	--	--
Ethylene glycol	107-21-1	x	x	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Methanol	67-56-1	x	x	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Benzoic acid	65-85-0	x	x	4	--	D	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Aniline	62-53-3	x	x	--	0.0057	B2	0.007	--	--	--	--	--	--
Benzyl chloride	100-44-7	x	x	--	0.17	B2	0.002	--	--	--	--	--	--
(E)-Crotonaldehyde	123-73-9	x	x	--	--	C	0.001	--	--	--	--	--	--
N,N-Dimethylformamide	68-12-2	x	x	--	--	--	0.1	--	IN	--	--	--	--
Epichlorohydrin	106-89-8	x	x	--	0.0099	B2	0.006	--	--	--	--	0	--
1,2-Propylene glycol	57-55-6	x	x	--	--	--	20	--	NL	--	--	--	--

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Chemical Name	CASRN	Frac-Focus data available	Physico-chemical data available	IRIS			PPRTV			ATSDR	HHBP	National Primary Drinking Water Regulations	
				Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c	Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c	Chronic oral MRL ^d (mg/kg-day)	Chronic RfD ^e (mg/kg-day)	Public health goal ^f (MCLG) (mg/L)	MCL ^g (mg/L)
2-(2-Butoxyethoxy) ethanol	112-34-5	x	x	--	--	--	0.03	--	IN	--	--	--	--
Hexanedioic acid	124-04-9	x	x	--	--	--	2	--	--	--	--	--	--
Quinoline	91-22-5	x	x	--	3	"Likely to be carcinogenic in humans"	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Ethylenediamine	107-15-3	x	x	--	--	D	0.09	--	IN	--	--	--	--
<i>Formic acid</i>	<i>64-18-6</i>	x	x	--	--	--	<i>0.9</i>	--	<i>IN</i>	--	--	--	--
Sodium chlorate	7775-09-9	x		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0.03	--	--
Quaternary ammonium compounds, benzyl-C12-16-alkyldimethyl, chlorides	68424-85-1	x		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0.44	--	--
Benzenesulfonic acid, C10-16-alkyl derivs.	68584-22-5	x		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0.5	--	--
Ammonium phosphate	7722-76-1	x		--	--	--	49	--	IN	--	--	--	--
Didecyldimethylammonium chloride	7173-51-5	x	x	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0.1	--	--
2-(Thiocyanomethylthio)benzothiazole	21564-17-0	x	x	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0.01	--	--

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Chemical Name	CASRN	Frac-Focus data available	Physico-chemical data available	IRIS			PPRTV			ATSDR	HHBP	National Primary Drinking Water Regulations	
				Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c	Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c	Chronic oral MRL ^d (mg/kg-day)	Chronic RfD ^e (mg/kg-day)	Public health goal ^f (MCLG) (mg/L)	MCL ^g (mg/L)
Mineral oil - includes paraffin oil	8012-95-1	x		--	--	--	3	--	IN	--	--	--	--
Trisodium phosphate	7601-54-9	x		--	--	--	49	--	IN	--	--	--	--
Triphosphoric acid, pentasodium salt	7758-29-4	x		--	--	--	49	--	IN	--	--	--	--
<i>Aluminum</i>	7429-90-5	x		--	--	--	1	--	IN	1	--	--	--
Phosphoric acid	7664-38-2	x		--	--	--	48.6	--	IN	--	--	--	--
<i>Iron</i>	7439-89-6	x		--	--	--	0.7	--	IN	--	--	--	--
Tricalcium phosphate	7758-87-4	x		--	--	--	49	--	IN	--	--	--	--
<i>Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether</i>	111-44-4	x	x	--	1.1	B2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Dodecylbenzenesulfonic acid	27176-87-0	x	x	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0.5	--	--
Hydrazine	302-01-2	x		--	3	B2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Tetrasodium pyrophosphate	7722-88-5	x		--	--	--	49	--	IN	--	--	--	--

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Chemical Name	CASRN	Frac-Focus data available	Physico-chemical data available	IRIS			PPRTV			ATSDR	HHBP	National Primary Drinking Water Regulations	
				Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c	Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c	Chronic oral MRL ^d (mg/kg-day)	Chronic RfD ^e (mg/kg-day)	Public health goal ^f (MCLG) (mg/L)	MCL ^g (mg/L)
Potassium phosphate, tribasic	7778-53-2	x		--	--	--	49	--	IN	--	--	--	--
Sodium trimetaphosphate	7785-84-4	x		--	--	--	49	--	IN	--	--	--	--
Arsenic	7440-38-2			0.0003	1.5	A	--	--	--	0.0003	--	0	0.010
Phosphine	7803-51-2			0.0003	--	D	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Acrolein	107-02-8		x	0.0005	--	"Data are inadequate for an assessment of human carcinogenic potential"	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Chromium (VI)	18540-29-9			0.003	--	A (inhaled); D(oral)	--	--	--	0.0009	--	--	--
Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	117-81-7		x	0.02	0.014	B2	--	--	--	0.06	--	0	0.006
Chlorine	7782-50-5			0.1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Styrene	100-42-5		x	0.2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0.1	0.1

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Chemical Name	CASRN	Frac-Focus data available	Physico-chemical data available	IRIS			PPRTV			ATSDR	HHBP	National Primary Drinking Water Regulations	
				Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c	Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c	Chronic oral MRL ^d (mg/kg-day)	Chronic RfD ^e (mg/kg-day)	Public health goal ^f (MCLG) (mg/L)	MCL ^g (mg/L)
Zinc	7440-66-6			0.3	--	"Inadequate information to assess carcinogenic potential"	--	--	--	0.3	--	--	--
Acrylic acid	79-10-7		x	0.5	--	--	--	--	IN	--	--	--	--
Chromium (III)	16065-83-1			1.5	--	"Data are inadequate for an assessment of human carcinogenic potential"	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Phthalic anhydride	85-44-9		x	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Cyclohexanone	108-94-1		x	5	--	--	--	--	IN	--	--	--	--
1,2-Propylene oxide	75-56-9		x	--	0.24	B2	--	--	--	--	0.001	--	--
2-(2-Ethoxyethoxy) ethanol	111-90-0		x	--	--	--	0.06	--	IN	--	--	--	--
Tributyl phosphate	126-73-8		x	--	--	--	0.01	0.009	LI	0.08	--	--	--
2-Methoxyethanol	109-86-4		x	--	--	--	0.005	--	IN	--	--	--	--
Polyphosphoric acids, sodium salts	68915-31-1			--	--	--	49	--	IN	--	--	--	--
Phosphoric acid, diammonium salt	7783-28-0			--	--	--	49	--	IN	--	--	--	--

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Chemical Name	CASRN	Frac-Focus data available	Physico-chemical data available	IRIS			PPRTV			ATSDR	HHBP	National Primary Drinking Water Regulations	
				Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c	Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c	Chronic oral MRL ^d (mg/kg-day)	Chronic RfD ^e (mg/kg-day)	Public health goal ^f (MCLG) (mg/L)	MCL ^g (mg/L)
Sodium pyrophosphate	7758-16-9			--	--	--	49	--	IN	--	--	--	--
Phosphoric acid, aluminium sodium salt	7785-88-8			--	--	--	49	--	IN	--	--	--	--

ATSDR = Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry; CASRN = Chemical Abstract Service Registry Number; IRIS = Integrated Risk Information System; PPRTV = Provisional Peer Reviewed Toxicity Values; HHBP = Human Health Benchmarks for Pesticides

^a Reference dose (RfD) (IRIS and PPRTV definition): An estimate (with uncertainty spanning perhaps an order of magnitude) of a daily oral exposure to the human population (including sensitive subgroups) that is likely to be without an appreciable risk of deleterious effects during a lifetime. It can be derived from a no observed-adverse-effect level (NOAEL), lowest observed-adverse-effect level (LOAEL), or benchmark dose (BMD), with uncertainty factors generally applied to reflect limitations of the data used. The RfD is generally used in the EPA's noncancer health assessments. Chronic RfD: Duration of exposure is up to a lifetime.

^b Oral slope factor (OSF): An upper-bound, approximating a 95% confidence limit, on the increased cancer risk from a lifetime oral exposure to an agent. This estimate, usually expressed in units of proportion (of a population) affected per mg/kg-day, is generally reserved for use in the low dose region of the dose response relationship, that is, for exposures corresponding to risks less than 1 in 100.

^c Weight of evidence (WOE) characterization for carcinogenicity: A system used for characterizing the extent to which the available data support the hypothesis that an agent causes cancer in humans. See glossary for details.

^d Minimum risk level (MRL): An ATSDR estimate of daily human exposure to a hazardous substance at or below which the substance is unlikely to pose a measurable risk of harmful (adverse), noncancerous effects. MRLs are calculated for a route of exposure (inhalation or oral) over a specified time period (acute, intermediate, or chronic). MRLs should not be used as predictors of harmful (adverse) health effects. Chronic MRL: Duration of exposure is 365 days or longer.

^e Reference dose (RfD) (HHBP definition): The particular concentration of a chemical that is known not to cause health problems. A standard that also may be referred to as the acceptable daily intake. Derived using the same EPA guidance for RfD determination.

^f Maximum contaminant level goal (MCLG): The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MCLGs allow for a margin of safety and are nonenforceable public health goals.

^g Maximum contaminant level (MCL): The highest level of a contaminant that is allowed in drinking water. MCLs are set as close to MCLGs as feasible using the best available treatment technology and taking cost into consideration. MCLs are enforceable standards.

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Table G-1b. Chemicals reported to be used in hydraulic fracturing fluids, with available state chronic RfVs and OSFs.

Chemicals from the FracFocus database are listed first, ranked by California EPA maximum allowable daily level (MADL). The "--" symbol indicates that no value was available from the sources consulted. Additionally, an "x" indicates the availability of usage data from FracFocus ([U.S. EPA, 2015a](#)) and physicochemical properties data from EPI Suite™ (see Appendix C). Italicized chemicals are found in both fracturing fluids and flowback/produced water.

Chemical name	CASRN	FracFocus data available	Physico-chemical data available	California	
				Oral MADL ^a (µg/day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)
Ethylene oxide	75-21-8	x	x	20	0.31
<i>Benzene</i>	<i>71-43-2</i>	x	x	24	0.1
N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone	872-50-4	x	x	17000	--
Acrylamide	79-06-1	x	x	140	4.5
Aniline	62-53-3	x	x	--	0.0057
Benzyl chloride	100-44-7	x	x	--	0.17
<i>1,4-Dioxane</i>	<i>123-91-1</i>	x	x	--	<i>0.027</i>
Epichlorohydrin	106-89-8	x	x	--	0.08
<i>Ethylbenzene</i>	<i>100-41-4</i>	x	x	--	<i>0.011</i>
Nitrilotriacetic acid	139-13-9	x	x	--	0.0053
Nitrilotriacetic acid trisodium monohydrate	18662-53-8	x	x	--	0.01
Thiourea	62-56-6	x	x	--	0.072
<i>Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether</i>	<i>111-44-4</i>	x	x	--	2.5
1,3-Butadiene	106-99-0	x	x	--	0.6
Hydrazine	302-01-2	x		--	3
1,3-Dichloropropene	542-75-6	x	x	--	0.091
<i>Dichloromethane</i>	<i>75-09-2</i>	x	x	--	<i>0.014</i>
<i>Lead</i>	<i>7439-92-1</i>			0.5	<i>0.0085</i>
<i>Chromium (VI)</i>	<i>18540-29-9</i>			8.2	0.5
2-Methoxyethanol	109-86-4		x	63	--
2-Ethoxyethanol	110-80-5		x	750	--

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Chemical name	CASRN	FracFocus data available	Physico-chemical data available	California	
				Oral MADL ^a (µg/day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)
<i>Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate</i>	117-81-7		x	20 (neonate male) 58 (infant male) 410 (adult)	0.003
1,2-Propylene oxide	75-56-9		x	--	0.24
<i>Arsenic</i>	7440-38-2			--	9.5

^a Maximum allowable daily level (MADL): The maximum allowable daily level of a reproductive toxicant at which the chemical would have no observable adverse reproductive effect, assuming exposure at 1,000 times that level.

^b Oral slope factor (OSF): An upper-bound, approximating a 95% confidence limit, on the increased cancer risk from a lifetime oral exposure to an agent. This estimate, usually expressed in units of proportion (of a population) affected per mg/kg day, is generally reserved for use in the low-dose region of the dose-response relationship, that is, for exposures corresponding to risks less than 1 in 100.

Table G-1c. Chemicals reported to be used in hydraulic fracturing fluids, with available international chronic RfVs and OSFs.

Chemicals from the FracFocus database are listed first, ranked by CICAD reference dose (TDI, or tolerable daily intake). An “x” indicates the availability of usage data from FracFocus ([U.S. EPA, 2015a](https://www.epa.gov/fracfocus)) and physicochemical properties data from EPI Suite™ (see Appendix C). Italicized chemicals are found in both fracturing fluids and flowback/produced water.

Chemical name	CASRN	FracFocus data available	Physicochemical data available	IPCS Chronic TDI ^a (mg/kg-day)
D-Limonene	5989-27-5	x	x	0.1
Potassium iodide	7681-11-0	x		0.01
Sodium iodide	7681-82-5	x		0.01
Copper(I) iodide	7681-65-4	x		0.01
Glyoxal	107-22-2	x	x	0.2
<i>Ethylene glycol</i>	<i>107-21-1</i>	x	x	<i>0.05</i>
N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone	872-50-4	x	x	0.6
Strontium chloride	10476-85-4			0.13
<i>Chromium (VI)</i>	<i>18540-29-9</i>			<i>0.0009</i>

IPCS = International Programme on Chemical Safety; CICAD = Concise International Chemical Assessment Documents

^a Tolerable daily intake (TDI): An estimate of the intake of a substance, expressed on a body mass basis, to which an individual in a (sub) population may be exposed daily over its lifetime without appreciable health risk.

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Table G-1d. Chemicals reported to be used in hydraulic fracturing fluids, with available less-than-chronic RfVs and OSFs.

Chemicals from the FracFocus database are listed first, ranked by PPRTV subchronic reference dose (sRfD). The "--" symbol indicates that no value was available from the sources consulted. Additionally, an "x" indicates the availability of usage data from FracFocus ([U.S. EPA, 2015a](#)) and physicochemical properties data from EPI Suite™ (see Appendix C). Italicized chemicals are found in both fracturing fluids and flowback/produced water.

Chemical name	CASRN	FracFocus data available	Physico-chemical data available	PPRTV	ATSDR	
				sRfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	Acute oral MRL ^b (mg/kg-day)	Intermediate oral MRL ^c (mg/kg-day)
Benzyl chloride	100-44-7	x	x	0.002	--	--
Epichlorohydrin	106-89-8	x	x	0.006	--	--
(E)-Crotonaldehyde	123-73-9	x	x	0.01	--	--
<i>Benzene</i>	<i>71-43-2</i>	x	x	<i>0.01</i>	--	--
<i>Ethylbenzene</i>	<i>100-41-4</i>	x	x	<i>0.05</i>	--	<i>0.4</i>
Ethylenediamine	107-15-3	x	x	0.2	--	--
N,N-Dimethylformamide	68-12-2	x	x	0.3	--	--
2-(2-Butoxyethoxy)ethanol	112-34-5	x	x	0.3	--	--
Hexane	110-54-3	x	x	0.3	--	--
<i>Xylenes</i>	<i>1330-20-7</i>	x	x	<i>0.4</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0.4</i>
Antimony trioxide	1309-64-4	x		0.5	--	--
<i>Iron</i>	<i>7439-89-6</i>	x		<i>0.7</i>	--	--
<i>Toluene</i>	<i>108-88-3</i>	x	x	<i>0.8</i>	<i>0.8</i>	<i>0.02</i>
<i>Formic acid</i>	<i>64-18-6</i>	x	x	<i>0.9</i>	--	--
Hexanedioic acid	124-04-9	x	x	2	--	--
Benzoic acid	65-85-0	x	x	4	--	--
<i>1,2-Propylene glycol</i>	<i>57-55-6</i>	x	x	<i>20</i>	--	--
Mineral oil - includes paraffin oil	8012-95-1	x		30	--	--
Phosphoric acid	7664-38-2	x		48.6	--	--
Ammonium phosphate	7722-76-1	x		49	--	--
Trisodium phosphate	7601-54-9	x		49	--	--
Triphosphoric acid, pentasodium salt	7758-29-4	x		49	--	--

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Chemical name	CASRN	FracFocus data available	Physico-chemical data available	PPRTV	ATSDR	
				sRfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	Acute oral MRL ^b (mg/kg-day)	Intermediate oral MRL ^c (mg/kg-day)
Tricalcium phosphate	7758-87-4	x		49	--	--
Tetrasodium pyrophosphate	7722-88-5	x		49	--	--
Potassium phosphate, tribasic	7778-53-2	x		49	--	--
Sodium trimetaphosphate	7785-84-4	x		49	--	--
Acrylamide	79-06-1	x	x	--	0.01	0.001
<i>1,4-Dioxane</i>	<i>123-91-1</i>	x	x	--	5	0.5
<i>Ethylene glycol</i>	<i>107-21-1</i>	x	x	--	0.8	0.8
<i>Naphthalene</i>	<i>91-20-3</i>	x	x	--	0.6	0.6
<i>Phenol</i>	<i>108-95-2</i>	x	x	--	1	--
Sodium chlorite	7758-19-2	x		--	--	0.1
<i>Acetone</i>	<i>67-64-1</i>	x	x	--	--	2
2-Butoxyethanol	111-76-2	x	x	--	0.4	0.07
<i>Aluminum</i>	<i>7429-90-5</i>	x		--	--	1
Formaldehyde	50-00-0	x	x	--	--	0.3
1,3-Dichloropropene	542-75-6	x	x	--	--	0.04
<i>Dichloromethane</i>	<i>75-09-2</i>	x	x	--	0.2	--
Antimony trichloride	10025-91-9			0.0004	--	--
2-Methoxyethanol	109-86-4		x	0.02	--	--
Tributyl phosphate	126-73-8		x	0.03	1.1	0.08
Acrylic acid	79-10-7		x	0.2	--	--
2-(2-Ethoxyethoxy) ethanol	111-90-0		x	0.6	--	--
Cyclohexanone	108-94-1		x	2	--	--
Polyphosphoric acids, sodium salts	68915-31-1			49	--	--
Phosphoric acid, diammonium salt	7783-28-0			49	--	--
Sodium pyrophosphate	7758-16-9			49	--	--

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Chemical name	CASRN	FracFocus data available	Physico-chemical data available	PPRTV	ATSDR	
				sRfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	Acute oral MRL ^b (mg/kg-day)	Intermediate oral MRL ^c (mg/kg-day)
Phosphoric acid, aluminium sodium salt	7785-88-8			49	--	--
<i>Acrolein</i>	107-02-8		x	--	--	0.004
<i>Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate</i>	117-81-7		x	--	--	0.1
Styrene	100-42-5		x	--	0.1	--
<i>Arsenic</i>	7440-38-2			--	0.005	--
<i>Chromium (VI)</i>	18540-29-9			--	--	0.005
<i>Copper</i>	7440-50-8			--	0.01	0.01
<i>Zinc</i>	7440-66-6			--	--	0.3

^a Reference dose (RfD): An estimate (with uncertainty spanning perhaps an order of magnitude) of a daily oral exposure to the human population (including sensitive subgroups) that is likely to be without an appreciable risk of deleterious effects during a lifetime. It can be derived from a no observed-adverse-effect level (NOAEL), lowest observed-adverse-effect level (LOAEL), or benchmark dose (BMD), with uncertainty factors generally applied to reflect limitations of the data used. The RfD is generally used in the EPA's noncancer health assessments. Subchronic RfD (sRfD): Duration of exposure is up to 10% of an average lifespan.

^b Minimum risk level (MRL): An ATSDR estimate of daily human exposure to a hazardous substance at or below which the substance is unlikely to pose a measurable risk of harmful (adverse), noncancerous effects. MRLs are calculated for a route of exposure (inhalation or oral) over a specified time period (acute, intermediate, or chronic). MRLs should not be used as predictors of harmful (adverse) health effects. Acute MRL: Duration of exposure is 1 to 14 days.

^c Minimum risk level (MRL): An ATSDR estimate of daily human exposure to a hazardous substance at or below which the substance is unlikely to pose a measurable risk of harmful (adverse), noncancerous effects. MRLs are calculated for a route of exposure (inhalation or oral) over a specified time period (acute, intermediate, or chronic). MRLs should not be used as predictors of harmful (adverse) health effects. Intermediate MRL: Duration of exposure is >14 to 364 days.

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Table G-2a. Chemicals reported to be detected in flowback or produced water, with available federal chronic RfVs and OSFs.

Chemicals are ranked by IRIS reference dose (RfD). The "--" symbol indicates that no value was available from the sources consulted. Additionally, an "x" indicates the availability of measured concentration data in flowback or produced water (see Appendix E) and physicochemical properties data from EPI Suite™ (see Appendix C). Italicized chemicals are found in both fracturing fluids and flowback/produced water.

Chemical Name	CASRN	Concentration data available	Physicochemical data available	IRIS			PPRTV			ATSDR	HHBP	National Primary Drinking Water Regulations	
				Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c	Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c	Chronic oral MRL ^d (mg/kg-day)	Chronic RfD ^e (mg/kg-day)	Public health goal ^f (MCLG) (mg/L)	MCL ^g (mg/L)
Heptachlor epoxide	1024-57-3		x	0.000013	9.1	B2	--	--	--	--	--	0	0.0002
Phosphorus	7723-14-0	x		0.00002	--	D	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Aldrin	309-00-2		x	0.00003	17	B2	--	--	--	0.00003	--	--	--
Dieldrin	60-57-1		x	0.00005	16	B2	--	--	--	0.00005	--	--	--
<i>Arsenic</i>	<i>7440-38-2</i>	x		<i>0.0003</i>	<i>1.5</i>	<i>A</i>	--	--	--	<i>0.0003</i>	--	<i>0</i>	<i>0.010</i>
Lindane	58-89-9		x	0.0003	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0.0002	0.0002
Antimony	7440-36-0	x		0.0004	--	--	--	--	IN	--	--	0.006	0.006
<i>Acrolein</i>	<i>107-02-8</i>		x	<i>0.0005</i>	--	<i>"Data are inadequate for an assessment of human carcinogenic potential"</i>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Cadmium	7440-43-9	x		0.0005 (water)	--	B1	--	--	--	0.0001	--	0.005	0.005
Heptachlor	76-44-8		x	0.0005	4.5	B2	--	--	--	--	--	0	0.0004

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Chemical Name	CASRN	Concentration data available	Physico-chemical data available	IRIS			PPRTV			ATSDR Chronic oral MRL ^d (mg/kg-day)	HHBP Chronic RfD ^e (mg/kg-day)	National Primary Drinking Water Regulations	
				Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c	Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c			Public health goal ^f (MCLG) (mg/L)	MCL ^g (mg/L)
Cyanide	57-12-5		x	0.0006	--	"Inadequate information to assess the carcinogenic potential"	--	--	--	--	--	0.2	0.2
Pyridine	110-86-1	x	x	0.001	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Methyl bromide	74-83-9		x	0.0014	--	D	--	--	--	--	0.02	--	--
Beryllium	7440-41-7	x		0.002	--	B1	--	--	--	0.002	--	0.004	0.004
Chromium (VI)	18540-29-9			0.003	--	A (inhaled); D(oral)	--	--	--	0.0009	--	--	--
Benzene	71-43-2	x	x	0.004	0.015-0.055	A	--	--	--	0.0005	--	0	0.005
2-Methylnaphthalene	91-57-6	x	x	0.004	--	"Data are inadequate to assess human carcinogenic potential"	--	--	--	0.04	--	--	--
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	x		0.005	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Silver	7440-22-4	x		0.005	--	D	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Selenium	7782-49-2	x		0.005	--	D	--	--	--	0.005	--	0.05	0.05
Dichloromethane	75-09-2		x	0.006	0.002	"Likely to be carcinogenic in humans"	--	--	--	0.06	--	0	0.005

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Chemical Name	CASRN	Concentration data available	Physico-chemical data available	IRIS			PPRTV			ATSDR Chronic oral MRL ^d (mg/kg-day)	HHBP Chronic RfD ^e (mg/kg-day)	National Primary Drinking Water Regulations	
				Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c	Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c			Public health goal ^f (MCLG) (mg/L)	MCL ^g (mg/L)
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	120-82-1		x	0.01	--	D	--	0.029	LI	0.1	--	0.07	0.07
Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4		x	0.006	0.0021	"Likely to be carcinogenic in humans"	--	--	--	0.008	--	0	0.005
Chloroform	67-66-3	x	x	0.01	--	B2	--	--	--	0.01	--	--	--
<i>Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate</i>	117-81-7	x	x	0.02	0.014	B2	--	--	--	0.06	--	0	0.006
<i>Naphthalene</i>	91-20-3	x	x	0.02	--	"Data are inadequate to assess human carcinogenic potential"	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
2,4-Dimethylphenol	105-67-9	x	x	0.02	--	--	--	--	IN	--	--	--	--
Chlorodibromomethane	124-48-1		x	0.02	0.084	C	--	--	--	0.09	--	--	--
Bromoform	75-25-2		x	0.02	0.0079	B2	--	--	--	0.02	--	--	--
Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4		x	0.02	0.062	B2	--	--	--	0.02	--	--	--
Diphenylamine	122-39-4	x	x	0.025	--	--	--	--	IN	--	0.1	--	--
<i>1,4-Dioxane</i>	123-91-1	x	x	0.03	0.1	"Likely to be carcinogenic to humans"	--	--	--	0.1	--	--	--

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Chemical Name	CASRN	Concentration data available	Physico-chemical data available	IRIS			PPRTV			ATSDR Chronic oral MRL ^d (mg/kg-day)	HHBP Chronic RfD ^e (mg/kg-day)	National Primary Drinking Water Regulations	
				Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c	Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c			Public health goal ^f (MCLG) (mg/L)	MCL ^g (mg/L)
Pyrene	129-00-0	x	x	0.03	--	D	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Fluoranthene	206-44-0	x	x	0.04	--	D	--	--	IN	--	--	--	--
Fluorene	86-73-7	x	x	0.04	--	D	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
m-Cresol	108-39-4	x	x	0.05	--	C	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
o-Cresol	95-48-7	x	x	0.05	--	C	--	--	IN	--	--	--	--
<i>Toluene</i>	<i>108-88-3</i>	<i>x</i>	<i>x</i>	<i>0.08</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>"Inadequate information to assess the carcinogenic potential"</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>Chlorine</i>	<i>7782-50-5</i>			<i>0.1</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>
<i>Ethylbenzene</i>	<i>100-41-4</i>	<i>x</i>	<i>x</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>0.7</i>	<i>0.7</i>
<i>Cumene</i>	<i>98-82-8</i>	<i>x</i>	<i>x</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>
<i>Acetophenone</i>	<i>98-86-2</i>	<i>x</i>	<i>x</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>	<i>--</i>
Carbon disulfide	75-15-0	x	x	0.1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Dibutyl phthalate	84-74-2	x	x	0.1	--	D	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Chemical Name	CASRN	Concentration data available	Physico-chemical data available	IRIS			PPRTV			ATSDR Chronic oral MRL ^d (mg/kg-day)	HHBP Chronic RfD ^e (mg/kg-day)	National Primary Drinking Water Regulations	
				Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c	Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c			Public health goal ^f (MCLG) (mg/L)	MCL ^g (mg/L)
Nitrite	14797-65-0	x		0.1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	1	1
Manganese	7439-96-5	x		0.14	--	D	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Xylenes	1330-20-7	x	x	0.2	--	"Data are inadequate to assess the carcinogenic potential"	--	--	--	0.2	--	10	10
Barium	7440-39-3	x		0.2	--	"Not likely to be carcinogenic to humans"	--	--	--	0.2	--	2	2
Boron	7440-42-8	x		0.2	--	"Data are inadequate to assess the carcinogenic potential"	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Zinc	7440-66-6	x		0.3	--	"Inadequate information to assess carcinogenic potential"	--	--	--	0.3	--	--	--
Phenol	108-95-2	x	x	0.3	--	"Data are inadequate to assess human carcinogenicity"	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Chemical Name	CASRN	Concentration data available	Physico-chemical data available	IRIS			PPRTV			ATSDR	HHBP	National Primary Drinking Water Regulations	
				Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c	Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c			Chronic oral MRL ^d (mg/kg-day)	Chronic RfD ^e (mg/kg-day)
Strontium	7440-24-6	x		0.6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Methyl ethyl ketone	78-93-3		x	0.6	--	"Data are inadequate to assess carcinogenic potential"	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Diethyl phthalate	84-66-2		x	0.8	--	D	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Acetone	67-64-1	x	x	0.9	--	"Data are inadequate to assess human carcinogenicity"	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Chromium (III)	16065-83-1			1.5	--	"Data are inadequate to assess human carcinogenicity"	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Nitrate	14797-55-8	x		1.6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	10	10
Ethylene glycol	107-21-1		x	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Methanol	67-56-1		x	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
1,2-Propylene glycol	57-55-6		x	--	--	--	20	--	NL	--	--	--	--
Formic acid	64-18-6		x	--	--	--	0.9	--	IN	--	--	--	--
Aluminum	7429-90-5	x		--	--	--	1	--	IN	1	--	--	--

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Chemical Name	CASRN	Concentration data available	Physico-chemical data available	IRIS			PPRTV			ATSDR	HHBP	National Primary Drinking Water Regulations	
				Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c	Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c	Chronic oral MRL ^d (mg/kg-day)	Chronic RfD ^e (mg/kg-day)	Public health goal ^f (MCLG) (mg/L)	MCL ^g (mg/L)
Iron	7439-89-6	x		--	--	--	0.7	--	IN	--	--	--	--
Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	111-44-4		x	--	1.1	B2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Benzyl alcohol	100-51-6	x	x	--	--	--	0.1	--	IN	--	--	--	--
Butylbenzene	104-51-8		x	--	--	--	0.05	--	IN	--	--	--	--
Acrylonitrile	107-13-1		x	--	0.54	B1	--	--	--	0.04	--	--	--
Phorate	298-02-2		x	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0.0005	--	--
beta-Hexachloro cyclohexane	319-85-7		x	--	1.8	C	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Benzo(a)pyrene	50-32-8	x	x	--	7.3	B2	--	--	--	--	--	0	0.0002
p,p'-DDE	72-55-9		x	--	0.34	B2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Lithium	7439-93-2	x		--	--	--	0.002	--	IN	--	--	--	--

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Chemical Name	CASRN	Concentration data available	Physico-chemical data available	IRIS			PPRTV			ATSDR	HHBP	National Primary Drinking Water Regulations	
				Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c	Chronic RfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)	Cancer WOE characterization ^c			Chronic oral MRL ^d (mg/kg-day)	Chronic RfD ^e (mg/kg-day)
Cobalt	7440-48-4	x		--	--	--	0.0003	--	LI	--	--	--	--
Vanadium	7440-62-2	x		--	--	--	0.00007	--	IN	--	--	--	--
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	86-30-6	x	x	--	0.0049	B2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

ATSDR = Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry; CASRN = Chemical Abstract Service Registry Number; IRIS = Integrated Risk Information System; PPRTV = Provisional Peer Reviewed Toxicity Values; HHBP = Human Health Benchmarks for Pesticides

^a Reference dose (RfD) (IRIS and PPRTV definition): An estimate (with uncertainty spanning perhaps an order of magnitude) of a daily oral exposure to the human population (including sensitive subgroups) that is likely to be without an appreciable risk of deleterious effects during a lifetime. It can be derived from a no observed-adverse-effect level (NOAEL), lowest observed-adverse-effect level (LOAEL), or benchmark dose (BMD), with uncertainty factors generally applied to reflect limitations of the data used. The RfD is generally used in the EPA's noncancer health assessments. Chronic RfD: Duration of exposure is up to a lifetime.

^b Oral slope factor (OSF): An upper-bound, approximating a 95% confidence limit, on the increased cancer risk from a lifetime oral exposure to an agent. This estimate, usually expressed in units of proportion (of a population) affected per mg/kg day, is generally reserved for use in the low dose region of the dose response relationship, that is, for exposures corresponding to risks less than 1 in 100.

^c Weight of evidence (WOE) characterization for carcinogenicity: A system used for characterizing the extent to which the available data support the hypothesis that an agent causes cancer in humans. See glossary for details.

^d Minimum risk level (MRL): An ATSDR estimate of daily human exposure to a hazardous substance at or below which the substance is unlikely to pose a measurable risk of harmful (adverse), noncancerous effects. MRLs are calculated for a route of exposure (inhalation or oral) over a specified time period (acute, intermediate, or chronic). MRLs should not be used as predictors of harmful (adverse) health effects. Chronic MRL: Duration of exposure is 365 days or longer.

^e Reference dose (RfD) (HHBP definition): The particular concentration of a chemical that is known not to cause health problems. A standard that also may be referred to as the acceptable daily intake. Derived using the same EPA guidance for RfD determination.

^f Maximum contaminant level goal (MCLG): The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MCLGs allow for a margin of safety and are nonenforceable public health goals.

^g Maximum contaminant level (MCL): The highest level of a contaminant that is allowed in drinking water. MCLs are set as close to MCLGs as feasible using the best available treatment technology and taking cost into consideration. MCLs are enforceable standards.

Table G-2b. Chemicals reported to be detected in flowback or produced water, with available state chronic RfVs and OSFs.

Chemicals are ranked by California EPA maximum allowable daily level (MADL). The "--" symbol indicates that no value was available from the sources consulted. Additionally, an "x" indicates the availability of measured concentration data in flowback or produced water (see Appendix E) and physicochemical properties data from EPI Suite™ (see Appendix C). Italicized chemicals are found in both fracturing fluids and flowback/produced water.

Chemical name	CASRN	Concentration data available	Physico-chemical data available	California	
				Oral MADL ^a (µg/day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)
<i>Lead</i>	7439-92-1	x		0.5	0.0085
Cadmium	7440-43-9	x		4.1	15
<i>Chromium (VI)</i>	18540-29-9			8.2	0.5
Dibutyl phthalate	84-74-2	x	x	8.7	--
<i>Benzene</i>	71-43-2	x	x	24	0.1
Acrylonitrile	107-13-1		x	--	1
<i>1,4-Dioxane</i>	123-91-1	x	x	--	0.027
<i>Ethylbenzene</i>	100-41-4	x	x	--	0.011
<i>Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate</i>	117-81-7	x	x	20 (neonate male) 58 (infant male) 410 (adult)	0.003
<i>Arsenic</i>	7440-38-2	x		--	9.5
<i>Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether</i>	111-44-4		x	--	2.5
Heptachlor epoxide	1024-57-3		x	--	5.5
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	120-82-1		x	--	0.0036
Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4		x	--	0.051
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	193-39-5	x	x	--	1.2
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	205-99-2	x	x	--	1.2
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	207-08-9	x	x	--	1.2
Aldrin	309-00-2		x	--	17
beta-Hexachlorocyclohexane	319-85-7		x	--	1.5
Benzo(a)pyrene	50-32-8	x	x	--	2.9
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	53-70-3	x	x	--	4.1
7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene	57-97-6		x	--	250
Lindane	58-89-9		x	--	1.1
Dieldrin	60-57-1		x	--	16
Chloroform	67-66-3	x	x	--	0.019

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Chemical name	CASRN	Concentration data available	Physico-chemical data available	California	
				Oral MADL ^a (µg/day)	OSF ^b (per mg/kg-day)
p,p'-DDE	72-55-9		x	--	0.34
Bromoform	75-25-2		x	--	0.011
Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4		x	--	0.13
Heptachlor	76-44-8		x	--	4.1
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	86-30-6	x	x	--	0.009
Safrole	94-59-7		x	--	0.22
<i>Dichloromethane</i>	<i>75-09-2</i>		x	--	<i>0.014</i>

^a Maximum allowable daily level (MADL): The maximum allowable daily level of a reproductive toxicant at which the chemical would have no observable adverse reproductive effect, assuming exposure at 1,000 times that level.

^b Oral slope factor (OSF): An upper-bound, approximating a 95% confidence limit, on the increased cancer risk from a lifetime oral exposure to an agent. This estimate, usually expressed in units of proportion (of a population) affected per mg/kg day, is generally reserved for use in the low-dose region of the dose-response relationship, that is, for exposures corresponding to risks less than 1 in 100.

Table G-2c. Chemicals reported to be detected in flowback or produced water, with available international chronic RfVs and OSFs.

Chemicals are ranked by CICAD reference dose (TDI – Tolerable Daily Intake). An “x” indicates the availability of measured concentration data in flowback or produced water (see Appendix E) and physicochemical properties data from EPI Suite™ (see Appendix C). Italicized chemicals are found in both fracturing fluids and flowback/produced water.

Chemical name	CASRN	Concentration data available	Physicochemical data available	IPCS Chronic TDI ^a (mg/kg-day)
Heptachlor	76-44-8		x	0.0001
Strontium	7440-24-6	x		0.13
Chloroform	67-66-3	x	x	0.015
Mercury	7439-97-6	x		0.002
Barium	7440-39-3	x		0.02
Beryllium	7440-41-7	x		0.002
<i>Ethylene glycol</i>	<i>107-21-1</i>		x	<i>0.05</i>
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4		x	0.05
<i>Chromium (VI)</i>	<i>18540-29-9</i>			<i>0.0009</i>
Diethyl phthalate	84-66-2		x	5

IPCS = International Programme on Chemical Safety; CICAD = Concise International Chemical Assessment Documents

^a Tolerable Daily Intake (TDI): An estimate of the intake of a substance, expressed on a body mass basis, to which an individual in a (sub) population may be exposed daily over its lifetime without appreciable health risk.

Table G-2d. Chemicals reported to be detected in flowback or produced water, with available less-than-chronic RfVs and OSFs.

Chemicals are ranked by PPRTV subchronic reference dose (sRfD). The "--" symbol indicates that no value was available from the sources consulted. Additionally, an "x" indicates the availability of measured concentration data in flowback or produced water (see Appendix E) and physicochemical properties data from EPI Suite™ (see Appendix C). Italicized chemicals are found in both fracturing fluids and flowback/produced water.

Chemical name	CASRN	Concentration data available	Physico-chemical data available	PPRTV	ATSDR	
				sRfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	Acute oral MRL ^b (mg/kg-day)	Intermediate oral MRL ^c (mg/kg-day)
Aldrin	309-00-2		x	0.00004	0.002	--
Antimony	7440-36-0	x		0.0004	--	--
Vanadium	7440-62-2	x		0.0007	--	0.01
Lithium	7439-93-2	x		0.002	--	--
Cobalt	7440-48-4	x		0.003	--	0.01
2-Methylnaphthalene	91-57-6	x	x	0.004	--	--
Methyl bromide	74-83-9		x	0.005	--	0.003
Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4		x	0.008	0.04	--
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	87-61-6		x	0.008	--	--
<i>Benzene</i>	<i>71-43-2</i>	x	x	<i>0.01</i>	--	--
p-Cresol	106-44-5	x	x	0.02	--	--
Bromoform	75-25-2		x	0.03	0.7	0.2
<i>Ethylbenzene</i>	<i>100-41-4</i>	x	x	<i>0.05</i>	--	<i>0.4</i>
2,4-Dimethylphenol	105-67-9	x	x	0.05	--	--
Chlorodibromomethane	124-48-1		x	0.07	0.1	--
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	120-82-1		x	0.09	--	0.1
Butylbenzene	104-51-8		x	0.1	--	--
Benzyl alcohol	100-51-6	x	x	0.3	--	--
Pyrene	129-00-0	x	x	0.3	--	--
<i>Xylenes</i>	<i>1330-20-7</i>	x	x	<i>0.4</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0.4</i>
<i>Iron</i>	<i>7439-89-6</i>	x		<i>0.7</i>	--	--
<i>Toluene</i>	<i>108-88-3</i>	x	x	<i>0.8</i>	<i>0.8</i>	<i>0.02</i>
<i>Formic acid</i>	<i>64-18-6</i>		x	<i>0.9</i>	--	--
<i>1,2-Propylene glycol</i>	<i>57-55-6</i>		x	<i>20</i>	--	--

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Chemical name	CASRN	Concentration data available	Physico-chemical data available	PPRTV	ATSDR	
				sRfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	Acute oral MRL ^b (mg/kg-day)	Intermediate oral MRL ^c (mg/kg-day)
Acrolein	107-02-8		x	--	--	0.004
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	x	x	--	5	0.5
Ethylene glycol	107-21-1		x	--	0.8	0.8
Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	117-81-7	x	x	--	--	0.1
Naphthalene	91-20-3	x	x	--	0.6	0.6
Phenol	108-95-2	x	x	--	1	--
Acetone	67-64-1	x	x	--	--	2
Arsenic	7440-38-2	x		--	0.005	--
Chromium (VI)	18540-29-9			--	--	0.005
Copper	7440-50-8	x		--	0.01	0.01
Zinc	7440-66-6	x		--	--	0.3
Aluminum	7429-90-5	x		--	--	1
Acrylonitrile	107-13-1		x	--	0.1	0.01
Dioctyl phthalate	117-84-0	x	x	--	3	0.4
Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4		x	--	0.008	0.008
Fluoranthene	206-44-0	x	x	0.1	--	0.4
beta-Hexachlorocyclohexane	319-85-7		x	--	0.05	0.0006
Lindane	58-89-9		x	--	0.003	0.00001
Dieldrin	60-57-1		x	--	--	0.0001
Chloroform	67-66-3	x	x	--	0.3	0.1
Strontium	7440-24-6	x		--	--	2
Tin	7440-31-5	x		--	--	0.3
Barium	7440-39-3	x		--	--	0.2
Boron	7440-42-8	x		--	0.2	0.2
Cadmium	7440-43-9	x		--	--	0.0005
Carbon disulfide	75-15-0	x	x	--	0.01	--
Heptachlor	76-44-8		x	--	0.0006	0.0001
Phosphorus	7723-14-0	x		--	--	0.0002

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Chemical name	CASRN	Concentration data available	Physico-chemical data available	PPRTV	ATSDR	
				sRfD ^a (mg/kg-day)	Acute oral MRL ^b (mg/kg-day)	Intermediate oral MRL ^c (mg/kg-day)
Diethyl phthalate	84-66-2		x	--	7	6
Dibutyl phthalate	84-74-2	x	x	--	0.5	--
Fluorene	86-73-7	x	x	--	--	0.4
<i>Dichloromethane</i>	<i>75-09-2</i>		x	--	0.2	--

^a Reference dose (RfD): An estimate (with uncertainty spanning perhaps an order of magnitude) of a daily oral exposure to the human population (including sensitive subgroups) that is likely to be without an appreciable risk of deleterious effects during a lifetime. It can be derived from a no observed-adverse-effect level (NOAEL), lowest observed-adverse-effect level (LOAEL), or benchmark dose (BMD), with uncertainty factors generally applied to reflect limitations of the data used. The RfD is generally used in the EPA's noncancer health assessments. Subchronic RfD (sRfD): Duration of exposure is up to 10% of an average lifespan.

^b Minimum risk level (MRL): An ATSDR estimate of daily human exposure to a hazardous substance at or below which the substance is unlikely to pose a measurable risk of harmful (adverse), noncancerous effects. MRLs are calculated for a route of exposure (inhalation or oral) over a specified time period (acute, intermediate, or chronic). MRLs should not be used as predictors of harmful (adverse) health effects. Acute MRL: Duration of exposure is 1 to 14 days.

^c Minimum risk level (MRL): An ATSDR estimate of daily human exposure to a hazardous substance at or below which the substance is unlikely to pose a measurable risk of harmful (adverse), noncancerous effects. MRLs are calculated for a route of exposure (inhalation or oral) over a specified time period (acute, intermediate, or chronic). MRLs should not be used as predictors of harmful (adverse) health effects. Intermediate MRL: Duration of exposure is >14 to 364 days.

G.4. References for Appendix G

[ATSDR](http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/glossary.html) (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). (2009). Glossary of terms. Available online at <http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/glossary.html>

[IARC](http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Classification/index.php) (International Agency for Research on Cancer). (2015). IARC monographs - Classifications. Available online at <http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Classification/index.php>

[NTP](http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/results/pubs/longterm/defs/index.html) (National Toxicology Program). (2014a). Definition of carcinogenicity results. Available online at <http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/results/pubs/longterm/defs/index.html>

[NTP](http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/pubhealth/roc/roc13/index.html) (National Toxicology Program). (2014b). Report on carcinogens. Thirteenth edition. Research Triangle Park, NC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service. <http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/pubhealth/roc/roc13/index.html>

[OEHHA. Title 27. California Code of Regulations Article 8. No Observable Effect Levels. § 25701](http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/pdf/zip/RegsArt8.pdf) (2012). <http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/pdf/zip/RegsArt8.pdf>

[U.S. EPA](#) (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (1996). Proposed guidelines for carcinogen risk assessment [EPA Report]. (EPA/600/P-92/003C). Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Risk Assessment Forum.

[U.S. EPA](http://www.epa.gov/raf/publications/pdfs/CANCER_GLS.PDF) (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (1999). Guidelines for carcinogen risk assessment [review draft] [EPA Report]. (NCEA-F-0644). Washington, DC. http://www.epa.gov/raf/publications/pdfs/CANCER_GLS.PDF

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

- [U.S. EPA](#) (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2011a). Plan to study the potential impacts of hydraulic fracturing on drinking water resources [EPA Report]. (EPA/600/R-11/122). Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development.
<http://www2.epa.gov/hfstudy/plan-study-potential-impacts-hydraulic-fracturing-drinking-water-resources-epa600r-11122>
- [U.S. EPA](#) (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2011c). Terminology services (TS): Vocabulary catalog - IRIS glossary. Available online at
http://ofmpub.epa.gov/sor_internet/registry/termreg/searchandretrieve/glossariesandkeywordlists/search.do?details=&glossaryName=IRIS%20Glossary (accessed May 21, 2015).
- [U.S. EPA](#) (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2012c). Study of the potential impacts of hydraulic fracturing on drinking water resources: Progress report. (EPA/601/R-12/011). Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development.
<http://nepis.epa.gov/exe/ZyPURL.cgi?Dockey=P100FH8M.txt>
- [U.S. EPA](#) (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2014b). Drinking water contaminants. Available online at
<http://water.epa.gov/drink/contaminants/>
- [U.S. EPA](#) (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2015a). Analysis of hydraulic fracturing fluid data from the FracFocus chemical disclosure registry 1.0 [EPA Report]. (EPA/601/R-14/003). Washington, D.C.: Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
<http://www2.epa.gov/hfstudy/analysis-hydraulic-fracturing-fluid-data-fracfocus-chemical-disclosure-registry-1-pdf>
- [U.S. EPA](#) (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2015e). Human health benchmarks for pesticides. Available online at <http://iaspub.epa.gov/apex/pesticides/?p=HHBP:HOME>
- [WHO](#) (World Health Organization). (2015). Concise international chemical assessment documents. Available online at <http://www.who.int/ipcs/publications/cicad/en/>